Message "is" points on P(x). (degree n-1, n+2k points.)

Message "is" points on P(x). (degree n-1, n+2k points.) Channel: Send P(i), receive R(i).

```
Message "is" points on P(x). (degree n-1, n+2k points.)
Channel: Send P(i), receive R(i).
Errors are wrong values at \leq k points.
```

Message "is" points on P(x). (degree n-1, n+2k points.) Channel: Send P(i), receive R(i). Errors are wrong values at  $\leq k$  points.Error:  $P(i) \neq R(i)$ .

Message "is" points on P(x). (degree n-1, n+2k points.) Channel: Send P(i), receive R(i).

Errors are wrong values at  $\leq k$  points.Error:  $P(i) \neq R(i)$ .

Error locator polynomial:  $E(x) = (x - e_1) \cdot (x - e_k) = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots + b_0.$ 

Message "is" points on P(x). (degree n-1, n+2k points.) Channel: Send P(i), receive R(i).

Errors are wrong values at  $\leq k$  points.Error:  $P(i) \neq R(i)$ .

Error locator polynomial:  $E(x) = (x - e_1) \cdot (x - e_k) = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots + b_0.$ Find:  $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_{n+k-1}x^{n+k-1} + \dots + a_0$  and E(x).

Message "is" points on P(x). (degree n-1, n+2k points.) Channel: Send P(i), receive R(i).

Errors are wrong values at  $\leq k$  points.Error:  $P(i) \neq R(i)$ .

Error locator polynomial:  

$$E(x) = (x - e_1) \cdot (x - e_k) = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots + b_0.$$
Find:  $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_{n+k-1}x^{n+k-1} + \dots + a_0$  and  $E(x)$ .  

$$P(x) = Q(x)/E(x).$$

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

 $Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$ 

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

$$a_{n+k-1}+\ldots a_0 \equiv R(1)(1+b_{k-1}\cdots b_0) \pmod{p}$$

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

$$a_{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 \equiv R(1)(1 + b_{k-1} \dots b_0) \pmod{p}$$
  
$$a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 \equiv R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1} \dots b_0) \pmod{p}$$

÷

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} a_{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(1)(1+b_{k-1}\cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1}\cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(m)((m)^k + b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1}\cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \end{array}$ 

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

 $a_{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 \equiv R(1)(1 + b_{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p}$   $a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 \equiv R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p}$   $\vdots$   $a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 \equiv R(m)((m)^k + b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p}$ 

..and n+2k unknown coefficients of Q(x) and E(x)!

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} a_{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(1)(1 + b_{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(m)((m)^k + b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \end{array}$ 

..and n+2k unknown coefficients of Q(x) and E(x)! Solve for coefficients of Q(x) and E(x).

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} a_{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(1)(1 + b_{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(m)((m)^k + b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \end{array}$ 

..and n+2k unknown coefficients of Q(x) and E(x)! Solve for coefficients of Q(x) and E(x).

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} a_{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(1)(1 + b_{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(m)((m)^k + b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \end{array}$ 

..and n+2k unknown coefficients of Q(x) and E(x)! Solve for coefficients of Q(x) and E(x).

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} a_{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(1)(1 + b_{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(m)((m)^k + b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \end{array}$ 

..and n+2k unknown coefficients of Q(x) and E(x)! Solve for coefficients of Q(x) and E(x).

For all points  $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$ ,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n + 2k linear equations.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} a_{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(1)(1 + b_{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(2)((2)^k + b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1} + \dots a_0 &\equiv & R(m)((m)^k + b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1} \cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \end{array}$ 

..and n+2k unknown coefficients of Q(x) and E(x)! Solve for coefficients of Q(x) and E(x).

Received 
$$R(1) = 3$$
,  $R(2) = 1$ ,  $R(3) = 6$ ,  $R(4) = 0$ ,  $R(5) = 3$ 

Received 
$$R(1) = 3$$
,  $R(2) = 1$ ,  $R(3) = 6$ ,  $R(4) = 0$ ,  $R(5) = 3$   
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$ 

Received 
$$R(1) = 3$$
,  $R(2) = 1$ ,  $R(3) = 6$ ,  $R(4) = 0$ ,  $R(5) = 3$   
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$   
 $E(x) = x - b_0$ 

Received 
$$R(1) = 3, R(2) = 1, R(3) = 6, R(4) = 0, R(5) = 3$$
  
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$   
 $E(x) = x - b_0$   
 $Q(i) = R(i)E(i).$ 

Received 
$$R(1) = 3$$
,  $R(2) = 1$ ,  $R(3) = 6$ ,  $R(4) = 0$ ,  $R(5) = 3$   
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$   
 $E(x) = x - b_0$   
 $Q(i) = R(i)E(i)$ .

$$a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 \equiv 3(1 - b_0) \pmod{7}$$

Received 
$$R(1) = 3$$
,  $R(2) = 1$ ,  $R(3) = 6$ ,  $R(4) = 0$ ,  $R(5) = 3$   
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$   
 $E(x) = x - b_0$   
 $Q(i) = R(i)E(i)$ .

$$a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 \equiv 3(1 - b_0) \pmod{7}$$
  
 $a_3 + 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 \equiv 1(2 - b_0) \pmod{7}$ 

Received 
$$R(1) = 3$$
,  $R(2) = 1$ ,  $R(3) = 6$ ,  $R(4) = 0$ ,  $R(5) = 3$   
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$   
 $E(x) = x - b_0$   
 $Q(i) = R(i)E(i)$ .

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 &\equiv& 3(1 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 &\equiv& 1(2 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 &\equiv& 6(3 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 2a_2 + 4a_1 + a_0 &\equiv& 0(4 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 4a_2 + 5a_1 + a_0 &\equiv& 3(5 - b_0) \pmod{7} \end{array}$$

Received 
$$R(1) = 3$$
,  $R(2) = 1$ ,  $R(3) = 6$ ,  $R(4) = 0$ ,  $R(5) = 3$   
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$   
 $E(x) = x - b_0$   
 $Q(i) = R(i)E(i)$ .

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 3(1 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 1(2 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 6(3 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 2a_2 + 4a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 0(4 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 4a_2 + 5a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 3(5 - b_0) \pmod{7} \end{array}$$

 $a_3 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = 6$ ,  $a_1 = 6$ ,  $a_0 = 5$  and  $b_0 = 2$ .

Received 
$$R(1) = 3$$
,  $R(2) = 1$ ,  $R(3) = 6$ ,  $R(4) = 0$ ,  $R(5) = 3$   
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$   
 $E(x) = x - b_0$   
 $Q(i) = R(i)E(i)$ .

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 3(1 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 1(2 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 6(3 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 2a_2 + 4a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 0(4 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 4a_2 + 5a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 3(5 - b_0) \pmod{7} \end{array}$$

$$a_3 = 1, a_2 = 6, a_1 = 6, a_0 = 5 \text{ and } b_0 = 2.$$
  
 $Q(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 5.$ 

Received 
$$R(1) = 3$$
,  $R(2) = 1$ ,  $R(3) = 6$ ,  $R(4) = 0$ ,  $R(5) = 3$   
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$   
 $E(x) = x - b_0$   
 $Q(i) = R(i)E(i)$ .

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 3(1 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 1(2 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 6(3 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 2a_2 + 4a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 0(4 - b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 4a_2 + 5a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 3(5 - b_0) \pmod{7} \end{array}$$

$$a_3 = 1, a_2 = 6, a_1 = 6, a_0 = 5 \text{ and } b_0 = 2.$$
  
 $Q(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 5.$   
 $E(x) = x - 2.$ 

$$Q(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 5.$$

$$Q(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 5.$$
  
 $E(x) = x - 2.$ 

$$Q(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 5.$$
  
 $E(x) = x - 2.$ 

x - 2)  $x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 5$ 

$$Q(x) = x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 6x + 5.$$
  

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

$$x - 2 ) x^{3} + 6 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$x^{3} - 2 x^{2}$$

$$Q(x) = x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 6x + 5.$$

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

$$x - 2 ) x^{3} + 6 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$x^{3} - 2 x^{2}$$

$$1 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$1 x^{2} - 2 x$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x - 2$$
$$Q(x) = x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 6x + 5.$$

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

$$x - 2 ) x^{3} + 6 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$x^{3} - 2 x^{2}$$

$$1 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$1 x^{2} - 2 x$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x - 2$$

$$0$$

$$Q(x) = x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 6x + 5.$$

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

$$x - 2 ) x^{3} + 6 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$x^{3} - 2 x^{2}$$

$$------$$

$$1 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$1 x^{2} - 2 x$$

$$------$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x - 2$$

$$-----$$

$$0$$

$$P(x) = x^{2} + x + 1$$

$$Q(x) = x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 6x + 5.$$

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

$$x - 2 ) x^{3} + 6 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$x^{3} - 2 x^{2}$$

$$1 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$1 x^{2} - 2 x$$

$$------$$

$$1 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$1 x^{2} - 2 x$$

$$------$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x - 2$$

$$------$$

$$0$$

$$P(x) = x^{2} + x + 1$$
Message is  $P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6.$ 

What is  $\frac{x-2}{x-2}$ ?

$$Q(x) = x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 6x + 5.$$

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

$$1 x^{2} + 1 x + 1$$

$$x - 2) x^{3} + 6 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$x^{3} - 2 x^{2}$$

$$------$$

$$1 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$1 x^{2} - 2 x$$

$$------$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x - 2$$

$$------$$

$$0$$

$$P(x) = x^{2} + x + 1$$
Message is  $P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6.$ 

What is  $\frac{x-2}{x-2}$ ? 1

$$Q(x) = x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 6x + 5.$$

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

$$x - 2 + 1 + 1 + 1$$

$$x - 2 + 1 + 1 + 1$$

$$x^{3} - 2 + 6 + 5$$

$$x^{3} - 2 + 6 + 5$$

$$1 + 2 + 6 + 5$$

$$1 + 2 + 6 + 5$$

$$1 + 2 + 6 + 5$$

$$1 + 2 + 6 + 5$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x - 2$$

$$----$$

$$0$$

$$P(x) = x^{2} + x + 1$$
Message is  $P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6.$ 
What is  $\frac{x - 2}{x - 2}$ ? 1
Except at  $x = 2$ ?

$$Q(x) = x^{3} + 6x^{2} + 6x + 5.$$

$$E(x) = x - 2.$$

$$1 x^{2} + 1 x + 1$$

$$x - 2) x^{3} + 6 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$x^{3} - 2 x^{2}$$

$$------$$

$$1 x^{2} + 6 x + 5$$

$$1 x^{2} - 2 x$$

$$------$$

$$x + 5$$

$$x - 2$$

$$------$$

$$0$$

$$P(x) = x^{2} + x + 1$$
Message is  $P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6.$ 
What is  $x^{-2} = 1$ 

What is  $\frac{x-z}{x-2}$ ? 1 Except at x = 2? Hole there?

### Error Correction: Berlekamp-Welsh

Message:  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ . Sender:

- 1. Form degree n-1 polynomial P(x) where  $P(i) = m_i$ .
- 2. Send  $P(1), \ldots, P(n+2k)$ .

#### **Receiver:**

- 1. Receive R(1), ..., R(n+2k).
- 2. Solve n+2k equations, Q(i) = E(i)R(i) to find Q(x) = E(x)P(x)and E(x).
- 3. Compute P(x) = Q(x)/E(x).
- 4. Compute *P*(1),...,*P*(*n*).

You have error locator polynomial!

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone wrong?

You have error locator polynomial! Where oh where have my packets gone wrong? Factor?

You have error locator polynomial! Where oh where have my packets gone wrong? Factor? Sure.

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone wrong?

Factor? Sure. Check all values?

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone wrong?

Factor? Sure. Check all values? Sure.

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone wrong?

Factor? Sure. Check all values? Sure.

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone wrong?

Factor? Sure. Check all values? Sure.

Efficiency?

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone wrong?

Factor? Sure. Check all values? Sure.

Efficiency? Sure.

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone wrong?

Factor? Sure. Check all values? Sure.

Efficiency? Sure. Only n + 2k values.

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone wrong?

Factor? Sure. Check all values? Sure.

Efficiency? Sure. Only n+2k values. See where it is 0.

### Hmmm...

#### Is there one and only one P(x) from Berlekamp-Welsh procedure?

### Hmmm...

# Is there one and only one P(x) from Berlekamp-Welsh procedure? **Existence:** there is a P(x) and E(x) that satisfy equations.

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
 (1)

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
(1)

Proof:

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x). \tag{1}$$

Proof: We claim

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
 (1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
 (1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
(1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

Q'(x)E(x) and Q(x)E'(x) are degree n+2k-1

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
(1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

Q'(x)E(x) and Q(x)E'(x) are degree n+2k-1and agree on n+2k points

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
(1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

Q'(x)E(x) and Q(x)E'(x) are degree n+2k-1and agree on n+2k points E(x) and E'(x) have at most k zeros each.

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
(1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

Q'(x)E(x) and Q(x)E'(x) are degree n+2k-1and agree on n+2k points E(x) and E'(x) have at most k zeros each. Can cross divide at n points.

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
(1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

Q'(x)E(x) and Q(x)E'(x) are degree n+2k-1and agree on n+2k points E(x) and E'(x) have at most k zeros each. Can cross divide at n points.

$$\implies \frac{Q(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)}$$
 equal on *n* points.

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
(1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

Q'(x)E(x) and Q(x)E'(x) are degree n+2k-1and agree on n+2k points E(x) and E'(x) have at most k zeros each. Can cross divide at n points.  $\implies \frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)}$  equal on n points. Both degree  $\le n-1$ 

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
(1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

Q'(x)E(x) and Q(x)E'(x) are degree n+2k-1and agree on n+2k points E(x) and E'(x) have at most k zeros each. Can cross divide at n points.  $\implies \frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)}$  equal on n points. Both degree  $\leq n-1 \implies$  Same polynomial!

**Uniqueness:** any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x).$$
(1)

Proof: We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) \text{ on } n+2k \text{ values of } x. \tag{2}$$

Equation 2 implies 1:

Q'(x)E(x) and Q(x)E'(x) are degree n+2k-1and agree on n+2k points E(x) and E'(x) have at most k zeros each. Can cross divide at n points.  $\implies \frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)}$  equal on n points. Both degree  $\le n-1 \implies$  Same polynomial!

### Last bit.

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

### Last bit.

### Fact: Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x. Proof:
**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

Fact: Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, ..., n+2k\}$ .

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, ..., n+2k\}$ . If E(i) = 0, then Q(i) = 0.

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, ..., n+2k\}$ . If E(i) = 0, then Q(i) = 0. If E'(i) = 0, then Q'(i) = 0.

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n+2k\}$ . If E(i) = 0, then Q(i) = 0. If E'(i) = 0, then Q'(i) = 0.  $\implies Q(i)E'(i) = Q'(i)E(i)$  holds when E(i) or E'(i) are zero.

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n+2k\}$ . If E(i) = 0, then Q(i) = 0. If E'(i) = 0, then Q'(i) = 0.  $\implies Q(i)E'(i) = Q'(i)E(i)$  holds when E(i) or E'(i) are zero.

When E'(i) and E(i) are not zero

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n+2k\}$ . If E(i) = 0, then Q(i) = 0. If E'(i) = 0, then Q'(i) = 0.  $\implies Q(i)E'(i) = Q'(i)E(i)$  holds when E(i) or E'(i) are zero.

When E'(i) and E(i) are not zero

$$\frac{Q'(i)}{E'(i)} = \frac{Q(i)}{E(i)} = R(i).$$

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, ..., n+2k\}$ .

If 
$$E(i) = 0$$
, then  $Q(i) = 0$ . If  $E'(i) = 0$ , then  $Q'(i) = 0$ .  
 $\implies Q(i)E'(i) = Q'(i)E(i)$  holds when  $E(i)$  or  $E'(i)$  are zero.

When E'(i) and E(i) are not zero

$$\frac{Q'(i)}{E'(i)} = \frac{Q(i)}{E(i)} = R(i).$$

Cross multiplying gives equality in fact for these points.

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, ..., n+2k\}$ .

If 
$$E(i) = 0$$
, then  $Q(i) = 0$ . If  $E'(i) = 0$ , then  $Q'(i) = 0$ .  
 $\implies Q(i)E'(i) = Q'(i)E(i)$  holds when  $E(i)$  or  $E'(i)$  are zero.

When E'(i) and E(i) are not zero

$$\frac{Q'(i)}{E'(i)} = \frac{Q(i)}{E(i)} = R(i).$$

Cross multiplying gives equality in fact for these points.

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, ..., n+2k\}$ .

If 
$$E(i) = 0$$
, then  $Q(i) = 0$ . If  $E'(i) = 0$ , then  $Q'(i) = 0$ .  
 $\implies Q(i)E'(i) = Q'(i)E(i)$  holds when  $E(i)$  or  $E'(i)$  are zero.

When E'(i) and E(i) are not zero

$$\frac{Q'(i)}{E'(i)} = \frac{Q(i)}{E(i)} = R(i).$$

Cross multiplying gives equality in fact for these points.

Points to polynomials, have to deal with zeros!

**Fact:** Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

Q(i) = R(i)E(i)Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)

for  $i \in \{1, ..., n+2k\}$ .

If 
$$E(i) = 0$$
, then  $Q(i) = 0$ . If  $E'(i) = 0$ , then  $Q'(i) = 0$ .  
 $\implies Q(i)E'(i) = Q'(i)E(i)$  holds when  $E(i)$  or  $E'(i)$  are zero.

When E'(i) and E(i) are not zero

$$\frac{Q'(i)}{E'(i)} = \frac{Q(i)}{E(i)} = R(i).$$

Cross multiplying gives equality in fact for these points.

Points to polynomials, have to deal with zeros!

Example: dealing with  $\frac{x-2}{x-2}$  at x = 2.

Berlekamp-Welsh algorithm decodes correctly when k errors!

Say you sent a message of length 4, encoded as P(x) where one sends packets P(1), ... P(8).

You recieve packets R(1), ..., R(8).

Packets 1 and 4 are corrupted.

(A)  $R(1) \neq P(1)$ 

- (B) The degree of P(x)E(x) = 3 + 2 = 5.
- (C) The degree of E(x) is 2.
- (D) The number of coefficients of P(x) is 4.
- (E) The number of coefficients of P(x)Q(x) is 6.

Say you sent a message of length 4, encoded as P(x) where one sends packets P(1), ... P(8).

You recieve packets R(1), ..., R(8).

Packets 1 and 4 are corrupted.

(A)  $R(1) \neq P(1)$ 

- (B) The degree of P(x)E(x) = 3 + 2 = 5.
- (C) The degree of E(x) is 2.
- (D) The number of coefficients of P(x) is 4.
- (E) The number of coefficients of P(x)Q(x) is 6.

(E) is false.

Say you sent a message of length 4, encoded as P(x) where one sends packets P(1), ... P(8).

You recieve packets R(1), ..., R(8).

Packets 1 and 4 are corrupted.

(A)  $R(1) \neq P(1)$ 

- (B) The degree of P(x)E(x) = 3 + 2 = 5.
- (C) The degree of E(x) is 2.
- (D) The number of coefficients of P(x) is 4.

(E) The number of coefficients of P(x)Q(x) is 6.

(E) is false.

(A) 
$$E(x) = (x-1)(x-4)$$

(B) The number of coefficients in E(x) is 2.

(C) The number of unknown coefficients in E(x) is 2.

(D) 
$$E(x) = (x-1)(x-2)$$

- (E)  $R(4) \neq P(4)$
- (F) The degree of R(x) is 5.

Say you sent a message of length 4, encoded as P(x) where one sends packets P(1), ... P(8).

You recieve packets R(1), ..., R(8).

Packets 1 and 4 are corrupted.

(A)  $R(1) \neq P(1)$ 

- (B) The degree of P(x)E(x) = 3 + 2 = 5.
- (C) The degree of E(x) is 2.
- (D) The number of coefficients of P(x) is 4.

(E) The number of coefficients of P(x)Q(x) is 6.

(E) is false.

(A) 
$$E(x) = (x-1)(x-4)$$

(B) The number of coefficients in E(x) is 2.

(C) The number of unknown coefficients in E(x) is 2.

(D) 
$$E(x) = (x-1)(x-2)$$

- (E)  $R(4) \neq P(4)$
- (F) The degree of R(x) is 5.

(A), (C), (E). (F) doesn't type check!

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* erasures. How many packets?

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* erasures. How many packets? n+k

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* erasures.

How many packets? n+kHow to encode?

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* erasures. How many packets? n+kHow to encode? With polynomial, P(x).

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* erasures.

How many packets? n+kHow to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree?

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
```

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover?
```

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

How many packets?

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

```
How many packets? n+2k
```

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

How many packets? n+2kWhy? k changes to make diff. messages overlap

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k
Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode?

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

k changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

k changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree?

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1.

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?
Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

```
Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!
```

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!

Nonlinear equations.

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!

Nonlinear equations.

Reconstruct E(x) and Q(x) = E(x)P(x).

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!

Nonlinear equations.

Reconstruct E(x) and Q(x) = E(x)P(x). Linear Equations.

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!

Nonlinear equations.

Reconstruct E(x) and Q(x) = E(x)P(x). Linear Equations. Polynomial division!

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!

Nonlinear equations.

Reconstruct E(x) and Q(x) = E(x)P(x). Linear Equations. Polynomial division! P(x) = Q(x)/E(x)!

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!

Nonlinear equations.

Reconstruct E(x) and Q(x) = E(x)P(x). Linear Equations. Polynomial division! P(x) = Q(x)/E(x)!

Reed-Solomon codes.

Communicate n packets, with k erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!

Nonlinear equations.

Reconstruct E(x) and Q(x) = E(x)P(x). Linear Equations. Polynomial division! P(x) = Q(x)/E(x)!

Reed-Solomon codes. Welsh-Berlekamp Decoding.

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* erasures.

```
How many packets? n+k
How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).
Of degree? n-1
Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!
```

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

```
How many packets? n+2k Why?
```

*k* changes to make diff. messages overlap How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1. Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!

Nonlinear equations.

Reconstruct E(x) and Q(x) = E(x)P(x). Linear Equations. Polynomial division! P(x) = Q(x)/E(x)!

Reed-Solomon codes. Welsh-Berlekamp Decoding. Perfection!



Really Cool!

Poll: How big is infinity?

## Poll: How big is infinity?

#### Mark what's true.

(A) There are more real numbers than natural numbers.

(B) There are more rational numbers than natural numbers.

(C) There are more integers than natural numbers.

(D) pairs of natural numbers >> natural numbers.

#### Same Size. Poll.

Two sets are the same size?

Two sets are the same size?

- (A) Bijection between the sets.
- (B) Count the objects and get the same number. same size.
- (C) Counting to infinity is hard.

Two sets are the same size?

- (A) Bijection between the sets.
- (B) Count the objects and get the same number. same size.
- (C) Counting to infinity is hard.

(A), (B).

Two sets are the same size?

- (A) Bijection between the sets.
- (B) Count the objects and get the same number. same size.
- (C) Counting to infinity is hard.
- (A), (B). (C)?



How to count?



How to count?

0,

How to count?

0, 1,

How to count?

0, 1, 2,

How to count?

0, 1, 2, 3,

How to count?

0, 1, 2, 3, ...

How to count?

0, 1, 2, 3, ...

The Counting numbers.

How to count?

0, 1, 2, 3, ...

The Counting numbers. The natural numbers! *N* 

How to count?

0, 1, 2, 3, ...

The Counting numbers. The natural numbers! *N* 

Definition: *S* is **countable** if there is a bijection between *S* and some subset of *N*.

How to count?

0, 1, 2, 3, ...

The Counting numbers. The natural numbers! *N* 

Definition: S is **countable** if there is a bijection between S and some subset of N.

If the subset of *N* is finite, *S* has finite **cardinality**.

How to count?

0, 1, 2, 3, ...

The Counting numbers. The natural numbers! *N* 

Definition: *S* is **countable** if there is a bijection between *S* and some subset of *N*.

If the subset of *N* is finite, *S* has finite **cardinality**.

If the subset of *N* is infinite, *S* is **countably infinite**.

Enumerating a set implies countable.

Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate T as follows:

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate T as follows: Get next element, x, of S,

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate *T* as follows: Get next element, *x*, of *S*, output only if  $x \in T$ .

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate *T* as follows: Get next element, *x*, of *S*, output only if  $x \in T$ .

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate *T* as follows: Get next element, *x*, of *S*, output only if  $x \in T$ .

Implications:

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate *T* as follows: Get next element, *x*, of *S*, output only if  $x \in T$ .

Implications:

 $Z^+$  is countable.

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate *T* as follows: Get next element, *x*, of *S*, output only if  $x \in T$ .

Implications:

 $Z^+$  is countable.

It is infinite since the list goes on.
## Countably infinite subsets.

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate *T* as follows: Get next element, *x*, of *S*, output only if  $x \in T$ .

Implications:

 $Z^+$  is countable.

It is infinite since the list goes on.

There is a bijection with the natural numbers.

## Countably infinite subsets.

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate *T* as follows: Get next element, *x*, of *S*, output only if  $x \in T$ .

Implications:

 $Z^+$  is countable.

It is infinite since the list goes on.

There is a bijection with the natural numbers.

So it is countably infinite.

## Countably infinite subsets.

Enumerating a set implies countable. Corollary: Any subset T of a countable set S is countable.

Enumerate *T* as follows: Get next element, *x*, of *S*, output only if  $x \in T$ .

Implications:

 $Z^+$  is countable.

It is infinite since the list goes on.

There is a bijection with the natural numbers.

So it is countably infinite.

All countably infinite sets have the same cardinality.

All binary strings.

All binary strings.  $B = \{0, 1\}^*$ .

All binary strings.  $B = \{0, 1\}^*$ .  $B = \{\phi, d\}$ 

All binary strings.  $B = \{0, 1\}^*$ .  $B = \{\phi, 0, \dots$ 

All binary strings.  $B = \{0, 1\}^*$ .  $B = \{\phi, 0, 1, \dots$ 

All binary strings.  $B = \{0, 1\}^*$ .  $B = \{\phi, 0, 1, 00, \dots$ 

All binary strings.  $B = \{0, 1\}^*$ .

 $B = \{\phi, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, \ldots\}.$ 

```
All binary strings.

B = \{0, 1\}^*.

B = \{\phi, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, ...\}.

\phi is empty string.
```

```
All binary strings.

B = \{0, 1\}^*.

B = \{\phi, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, ...\}.

\phi is empty string.
```

For any string, it appears at some position in the list.

```
All binary strings.

B = \{0, 1\}^*.

B = \{\phi, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, ...\}.

\phi is empty string.
```

For any string, it appears at some position in the list. If *n* bits, it will appear before position  $2^{n+1}$ .

```
All binary strings.

B = \{0, 1\}^*.

B = \{\phi, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, ...\}.

\phi is empty string.
```

For any string, it appears at some position in the list. If *n* bits, it will appear before position  $2^{n+1}$ .

Should be careful here.

```
All binary strings.

B = \{0, 1\}^*.

B = \{\phi, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, ...\}.

\phi is empty string.
```

For any string, it appears at some position in the list. If *n* bits, it will appear before position  $2^{n+1}$ .

Should be careful here.

 $B = \{\phi; 0,00,000,0000, \dots\}$ 

```
All binary strings.

B = \{0, 1\}^*.

B = \{\phi, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, ...\}.

\phi is empty string.
```

For any string, it appears at some position in the list. If *n* bits, it will appear before position  $2^{n+1}$ .

Should be careful here.

```
B = \{\phi; 0,00,000,0000,...\}
Never get to 1.
```

Enumerate the rational numbers in order...

Enumerate the rational numbers in order...

 $0,\ldots,1/2,\ldots$ 

Enumerate the rational numbers in order...

0,...,1/2,..

Where is 1/2 in list?

Enumerate the rational numbers in order...

 $0,\ldots,1/2,\ldots$ 

```
Where is 1/2 in list?
```

After 1/3, which is after 1/4, which is after 1/5...

Enumerate the rational numbers in order...

 $0,\ldots,1/2,\ldots$ 

Where is 1/2 in list?

After 1/3, which is after 1/4, which is after 1/5...

A thing about fractions:

Enumerate the rational numbers in order...

 $0,\ldots,1/2,\ldots$ 

Where is 1/2 in list?

After 1/3, which is after 1/4, which is after 1/5...

A thing about fractions:

any two fractions has another fraction between it.

Enumerate the rational numbers in order...

 $0,\ldots,1/2,\ldots$ 

Where is 1/2 in list?

After 1/3, which is after 1/4, which is after 1/5...

A thing about fractions:

any two fractions has another fraction between it.

Can't even get to "next" fraction!

Enumerate the rational numbers in order...

 $0,\ldots,1/2,\ldots$ 

Where is 1/2 in list?

After 1/3, which is after 1/4, which is after 1/5...

A thing about fractions:

any two fractions has another fraction between it.

Can't even get to "next" fraction!

Can't list in "order".

Consider pairs of natural numbers:  $N \times N$ 

Consider pairs of natural numbers:  $N \times N$ E.g.: (1,2), (100,30), etc.

Consider pairs of natural numbers:  $N \times N$  E.g.: (1,2), (100,30), etc.

For finite sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ ,

Consider pairs of natural numbers:  $N \times N$  E.g.: (1,2), (100,30), etc.

For finite sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , then  $S_1 \times S_2$ 

Consider pairs of natural numbers:  $N \times N$ E.g.: (1,2), (100,30), etc. For finite sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , then  $S_1 \times S_2$ has size  $|S_1| \times |S_2|$ .

Consider pairs of natural numbers:  $N \times N$ E.g.: (1,2), (100,30), etc. For finite sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , then  $S_1 \times S_2$ has size  $|S_1| \times |S_2|$ .

Consider pairs of natural numbers:  $N \times N$ E.g.: (1,2), (100,30), etc. For finite sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , then  $S_1 \times S_2$ has size  $|S_1| \times |S_2|$ . So,  $N \times N$  is countably infinite

Consider pairs of natural numbers:  $N \times N$ E.g.: (1,2), (100,30), etc. For finite sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , then  $S_1 \times S_2$ has size  $|S_1| \times |S_2|$ .

So,  $N \times N$  is countably infinite squared

Consider pairs of natural numbers:  $N \times N$ E.g.: (1,2), (100,30), etc. For finite sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , then  $S_1 \times S_2$ has size  $|S_1| \times |S_2|$ . So,  $N \times N$  is countably infinite squared ???

Enumerate in list:

Enumerate in list: (0,0),
Enumerate in list: (0,0),(1,0),

Enumerate in list: (0,0), (1,0), (0,1),

Enumerate in list: (0,0), (1,0), (0,1), (2,0),

Enumerate in list: (0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(2,0),(1,1),

























The pair (a,b), is in first  $\approx (a+b+1)(a+b)/2$  elements of list!



The pair (a,b), is in first  $\approx (a+b+1)(a+b)/2$  elements of list! (i.e., "triangle").



The pair (a,b), is in first  $\approx (a+b+1)(a+b)/2$  elements of list! (i.e., "triangle").

Countably infinite.



The pair (a, b), is in first  $\approx (a+b+1)(a+b)/2$  elements of list! (i.e., "triangle").

Countably infinite.

Same size as the natural numbers!!



#### Enumeration to get bijection with naturals?

# Poll.

#### Enumeration to get bijection with naturals?

(A) Integers: First all negatives, then positives.

(B) Integers: By absolute value, break ties however.

(C) Pairs of naturals: by sum of values, break ties however.

(D) Pairs of naturals: by value of first element.

(E) Pairs of integers: by sum of values, break ties.

(F) Pairs of integers: by sum of absolute values, break ties.

# Poll.

#### Enumeration to get bijection with naturals?

(A) Integers: First all negatives, then positives.

(B) Integers: By absolute value, break ties however.

(C) Pairs of naturals: by sum of values, break ties however.

(D) Pairs of naturals: by value of first element.

(E) Pairs of integers: by sum of values, break ties.

(F) Pairs of integers: by sum of absolute values, break ties.

(B),(C), (F).

Positive rational number.

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ 

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1.

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ . Countably infinite!

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ . Countably infinite! All rational numbers?

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Countably infinite!

All rational numbers?

Negative rationals are countable.

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Countably infinite!

All rational numbers?

Negative rationals are countable. (Same size as positive rationals.)

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Countably infinite!

All rational numbers?

Negative rationals are countable. (Same size as positive rationals.)

Put all rational numbers in a list.

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Countably infinite!

All rational numbers?

Negative rationals are countable. (Same size as positive rationals.)

Put all rational numbers in a list.

First negative, then nonegative

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Countably infinite!

All rational numbers?

Negative rationals are countable. (Same size as positive rationals.)

Put all rational numbers in a list.

First negative, then nonegative ???

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Countably infinite!

All rational numbers?

Negative rationals are countable. (Same size as positive rationals.)

Put all rational numbers in a list.

First negative, then nonegative ??? No!

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Countably infinite!

All rational numbers?

Negative rationals are countable. (Same size as positive rationals.)

Put all rational numbers in a list.

First negative, then nonegative ??? No!

Repeatedly and alternatively take one from each list.
### Rationals?

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Countably infinite!

All rational numbers?

Negative rationals are countable. (Same size as positive rationals.)

Put all rational numbers in a list.

First negative, then nonegative ??? No!

Repeatedly and alternatively take one from each list. Interleave Streams in 61A

### Rationals?

Positive rational number. Lowest terms: a/b $a, b \in N$ with gcd(a, b) = 1. Infinite subset of  $N \times N$ .

Countably infinite!

All rational numbers?

Negative rationals are countable. (Same size as positive rationals.)

Put all rational numbers in a list.

First negative, then nonegative ??? No!

Repeatedly and alternatively take one from each list. Interleave Streams in 61A

The rationals are countably infinite.

#### Real numbers..

Real numbers are same size as integers?

Are the set of reals countable?

Are the set of reals countable? Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Each real has a decimal representation.

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .500000000...

Are the set of reals countable?

```
Lets consider the reals [0, 1].
```

Each real has a decimal representation. .500000000... (1/2)

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .500000000... (1/2) .785398162...

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .500000000... (1/2) .785398162...  $\pi/4$ 

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .500000000... (1/2) .785398162...  $\pi/4$  .367879441...

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .50000000... (1/2) .785398162...  $\pi/4$  .367879441... 1/*e* 

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .50000000... (1/2).785398162...  $\pi/4$ .367879441... 1/e.632120558...

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .50000000... (1/2) .785398162...  $\pi/4$  .367879441... 1/e .632120558... 1 - 1/e

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0, 1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .50000000... (1/2) .785398162...  $\pi/4$ .367879441... 1/*e* .632120558... 1 - 1/e.345212312...

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0,1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .50000000... (1/2) .785398162...  $\pi/4$ .367879441... 1/*e* .632120558... 1 - 1/e.345212312... Some real number

Are the set of reals countable?

Lets consider the reals [0,1].

Each real has a decimal representation. .50000000... (1/2) .785398162...  $\pi/4$ .367879441... 1/*e* .632120558... 1 - 1/e.345212312... Some real number

If countable, there a listing, *L* contains all reals.

If countable, there a listing, *L* contains all reals. For example 0: .500000000...

- 0:.50000000...
- 1: .785398162...

- 0:.50000000...
- 1:.785398162...
- 2:.367879441...

- 0:.50000000...
- 1:.785398162...
- 2: .367879441...
- 3: .632120558...

- 0:.50000000...
- 1:.785398162...
- 2: .367879441...
- 3: .632120558...
- 4: .345212312...

- 0:.50000000...
- 1:.785398162...
- 2: .367879441...
- 3: .632120558...
- 4: .345212312...
- ÷

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

- 0: .500000000... 1: .785398162... 2: .367879441... 3: .632120558... 4: .345212312...
- :

.

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

- 0: .500000000... 1: .785398162... 2: .367879441... 3: .632120558... 4: 345212312
- 4: .345212312...

:

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

- 0: .500000000... 1: .785398162...
- 2: .367879441...
- 3: .632120558...
- 4: .345212312...

:

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

- 0:.50000000... 1:.785398162...
- 2:.367879441...
- 3: .632120558...
- 4:.345212312...

. .

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

- 0: .50000000... 1: .785398162... 2: .367879441... 3: .632120558...
- 4: .345212312...

:

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

- 0: .500000000... 1: .785398162... 2: .367879441... 3: .632120558...
- 4: .345212312...

:

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

- 0: .500000000... 1: .785398162... 2: .367879441... 3: .632120558...
- 4: .345212312...

:

Construct "diagonal" number: .77677...

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

0: .500000000... 1: .785398162... 2: .367879441... 3: .632120558... 4: .345212312...

.

Construct "diagonal" number: .77677...

Diagonal Number:

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

0: .50000000... 1: .785398162... 2: .367879441... 3: .632120558... 4: .345212312... :

Construct "diagonal" number: .77677...

Diagonal Number: Digit *i* is 7 if number *i*'s *i*th digit is not 7

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

```
0: .500000000...
1: .785398162...
2: .367879441...
3: .632120558...
4: .345212312...
```

.

Construct "diagonal" number: .77677...

Diagonal Number: Digit *i* is 7 if number *i*'s *i*th digit is not 7 and 6 otherwise.

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

```
0: .50000000...

1: .785398162...

2: .367879441...

3: .632120558...

4: .345212312...

:
```

Construct "diagonal" number: .77677...

Diagonal Number: Digit *i* is 7 if number *i*'s *i*th digit is not 7 and 6 otherwise.

Diagonal number for a list differs from every number in list!
If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

```
0: .50000000...

1: .785398162...

2: .367879441...

3: .632120558...

4: .345212312...

:
```

Construct "diagonal" number: .77677...

Diagonal Number: Digit *i* is 7 if number *i*'s *i*th digit is not 7 and 6 otherwise.

Diagonal number for a list differs from every number in list! Diagonal number not in list.

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

```
0: .50000000...

1: .785398162...

2: .367879441...

3: .632120558...

4: .345212312...

:
```

Construct "diagonal" number: .77677...

Diagonal Number: Digit *i* is 7 if number *i*'s *i*th digit is not 7 and 6 otherwise.

Diagonal number for a list differs from every number in list! Diagonal number not in list.

Diagonal number is real.

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

```
0: .500000000...
1: .785398162...
2: .367879441...
3: .632120558...
4: .345212312...
```

.

Construct "diagonal" number: .77677...

Diagonal Number: Digit *i* is 7 if number *i*'s *i*th digit is not 7 and 6 otherwise.

Diagonal number for a list differs from every number in list! Diagonal number not in list.

Diagonal number is real.

Contradiction!

If countable, there a listing, L contains all reals. For example

```
0: .500000000...
1: .785398162...
2: .367879441...
3: .632120558...
4: .345212312...
```

.

Construct "diagonal" number: .77677...

Diagonal Number: Digit *i* is 7 if number *i*'s *i*th digit is not 7 and 6 otherwise.

Diagonal number for a list differs from every number in list! Diagonal number not in list.

Diagonal number is real.

Contradiction!

Subset [0,1] is not countable!!

Subset [0, 1] is not countable!!

Subset [0,1] is not countable!! What about all reals?

Subset [0, 1] is not countable!! What about all reals? No.

Subset [0,1] is not countable!!

What about all reals? No.

Any subset of a countable set is countable.

Subset [0, 1] is not countable!!

What about all reals? No.

Any subset of a countable set is countable.

If reals are countable then so is [0, 1].

1. Assume that a set *S* can be enumerated.

- 1. Assume that a set *S* can be enumerated.
- 2. Consider an arbitrary list of all the elements of S.

- 1. Assume that a set *S* can be enumerated.
- 2. Consider an arbitrary list of all the elements of *S*.
- 3. Use the diagonal from the list to construct a new element t.

- 1. Assume that a set *S* can be enumerated.
- 2. Consider an arbitrary list of all the elements of *S*.
- 3. Use the diagonal from the list to construct a new element *t*.
- 4. Show that t is different from all elements in the list

- 1. Assume that a set *S* can be enumerated.
- 2. Consider an arbitrary list of all the elements of *S*.
- 3. Use the diagonal from the list to construct a new element t.
- 4. Show that *t* is different from all elements in the list  $\implies t$  is not in the list.

- 1. Assume that a set *S* can be enumerated.
- 2. Consider an arbitrary list of all the elements of *S*.
- 3. Use the diagonal from the list to construct a new element t.
- 4. Show that *t* is different from all elements in the list  $\implies t$  is not in the list.
- 5. Show that *t* is in *S*.

- 1. Assume that a set *S* can be enumerated.
- 2. Consider an arbitrary list of all the elements of *S*.
- 3. Use the diagonal from the list to construct a new element t.
- 4. Show that *t* is different from all elements in the list  $\implies t$  is not in the list.
- 5. Show that *t* is in *S*.
- 6. Contradiction.

The set of all subsets of N.

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of N: {0},

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, ..., 7\},$ 

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, ..., 7\},$ 

The set of all subsets of N.

```
Example subsets of N: {0}, {0,...,7}, evens,
```

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of N: {0}, {0,...,7}, evens, odds,

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, ..., 7\},$ evens, odds, primes,

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, ..., 7\},$ evens, odds, primes,

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, ..., 7\},$ evens, odds, primes,

Assume is countable.

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\},\$ evens, odds, primes,

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, *L*, that contains all subsets of *N*.

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\},\$ evens, odds, primes,

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, *L*, that contains all subsets of *N*.

Define a diagonal set, D:

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\},$  evens, odds, primes,

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, *L*, that contains all subsets of *N*.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ .

The set of all subsets of N.

```
Example subsets of N: \{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\}, evens, odds, primes,
```

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L, that contains all subsets of N.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ . otherwise  $i \notin D$ .

The set of all subsets of N.

```
Example subsets of N: \{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\}, evens, odds, primes,
```

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L, that contains all subsets of N.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ . otherwise  $i \notin D$ .

The set of all subsets of N.

```
Example subsets of N: \{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\}, evens, odds, primes,
```

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L, that contains all subsets of N.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ . otherwise  $i \notin D$ .

D is different from *i*th set in L for every *i*.

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\},$  evens, odds, primes,

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L, that contains all subsets of N.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ . otherwise  $i \notin D$ .

D is different from *i*th set in L for every *i*.

 $\implies$  *D* is not in the listing.

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\},$  evens, odds, primes,

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L, that contains all subsets of N.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ . otherwise  $i \notin D$ .

D is different from *i*th set in L for every *i*.

 $\implies$  *D* is not in the listing.

D is a subset of N.

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\},$  evens, odds, primes,

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L, that contains all subsets of N.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ . otherwise  $i \notin D$ .

D is different from *i*th set in L for every *i*.

 $\implies$  *D* is not in the listing.

D is a subset of N.

L does not contain all subsets of N.

The set of all subsets of N.

```
Example subsets of N: \{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\}, evens, odds, primes,
```

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L, that contains all subsets of N.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ . otherwise  $i \notin D$ .

D is different from *i*th set in L for every *i*.

 $\implies$  *D* is not in the listing.

D is a subset of N.

L does not contain all subsets of N.

Contradiction.

The set of all subsets of N.

```
Example subsets of N: \{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\}, evens, odds, primes,
```

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L, that contains all subsets of N.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ . otherwise  $i \notin D$ .

D is different from *i*th set in L for every *i*.

 $\implies$  *D* is not in the listing.

D is a subset of N.

L does not contain all subsets of N.

Contradiction.

**Theorem:** The set of all subsets of *N* is not countable.
## Another diagonalization.

The set of all subsets of N.

Example subsets of *N*:  $\{0\}, \{0, \dots, 7\},$  evens, odds, primes,

Assume is countable.

There is a listing, L, that contains all subsets of N.

Define a diagonal set, *D*: If *i*th set in *L* does not contain *i*,  $i \in D$ . otherwise  $i \notin D$ .

D is different from *i*th set in L for every *i*.

 $\implies$  *D* is not in the listing.

D is a subset of N.

L does not contain all subsets of N.

Contradiction.

**Theorem:** The set of all subsets of N is not countable. (The set of all subsets of S, is the **powerset** of N.)

Poll: diagonalization Proof.

Mark parts of proof.

# Poll: diagonalization Proof.

Mark parts of proof.

- (A) Integers are larger than naturals cuz obviously.
- (B) Integers are countable cuz, interleaving bijection.
- (C) Reals are uncountable cuz obviously!
- (D) Reals can't be in a list: diagonal number not on list.
- (E) Powerset in list: diagonal set not in list.

# Poll: diagonalization Proof.

Mark parts of proof.

- (A) Integers are larger than naturals cuz obviously.
- (B) Integers are countable cuz, interleaving bijection.
- (C) Reals are uncountable cuz obviously!
- (D) Reals can't be in a list: diagonal number not on list.
- (E) Powerset in list: diagonal set not in list.

(B), (C)?, (D), (E)

# The Continuum hypothesis.

There is no set with cardinality between the naturals and the reals.

# The Continuum hypothesis.

There is no set with cardinality between the naturals and the reals. First of Hilbert's problems!

Cardinality of [0,1] smaller than all the reals?

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1].$ 

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x + \frac{1}{2} & 0 \le x \le 1/2 \\ \frac{1}{4x} & x > 1/2 \end{cases}$$

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: R^+ \to [0, 1].$ 



One to one.

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: R^+ \to [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ 

Cardinality of [0,1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: {I\!\!R}^+ \to [0,1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2],

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ .

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If neither in [0, 1/2]

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If neither in [0, 1/2] a division

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If neither in [0, 1/2] a division  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ .

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If neither in [0, 1/2] a division  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If one is in [0, 1/2] and one isn't,

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If neither in [0, 1/2] a division  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If one is in [0, 1/2] and one isn't, different ranges

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If neither in [0, 1/2] a division  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If one is in [0, 1/2] and one isn't, different ranges  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ .

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If neither in [0, 1/2] a division  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If one is in [0, 1/2] and one isn't, different ranges  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Bijection!

Cardinality of [0, 1] smaller than all the reals?  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1].$ 



One to one.  $x \neq y$ If both in [0, 1/2], a shift  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If neither in [0, 1/2] a division  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . If one is in [0, 1/2] and one isn't, different ranges  $\implies f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Bijection!

[0,1] is same cardinality as nonnegative reals!

Are real numbers even real?

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

e?

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

e? Transendental number.

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}(1+1/n)^n.$ 

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

e? Transendental number.

```
\lim_{n\to\infty}(1+1/n)^n.
```

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

٠

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

e? Transendental number.

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}(1+1/n)^n.$ 

 $\sqrt{2}$ ? Algebraic number. The solutions of

$$x^2 = 2$$

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

٠

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

e? Transendental number.

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}(1+1/n)^n.$ 

 $\sqrt{2}$ ? Algebraic number. The solutions of

$$x^2 = 2$$

Really, rationals seem fine for calculus.

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

٠

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

- e? Transendental number.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (1+1/n)^n$ .
- $\sqrt{2}$ ? Algebraic number. The solutions of

$$x^2 = 2$$

Really, rationals seem fine for calculus.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=0}^n\frac{(b-a)}{n}f(x_i),$$

where  $x_i = \frac{a+i\times(b-a)/n}{d}$ 

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

٠

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

- e? Transendental number.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (1+1/n)^n$ .
- $\sqrt{2}$ ? Algebraic number. The solutions of

$$x^2 = 2$$

Really, rationals seem fine for calculus.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=0}^n\frac{(b-a)}{n}f(x_i),$$

where  $x_i = \frac{a+i \times (b-a)/n}{2}$ 

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

٠

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

- e? Transendental number.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (1+1/n)^n$ .
- $\sqrt{2}$ ? Algebraic number. The solutions of

$$x^2 = 2$$

Really, rationals seem fine for calculus.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=0}^n\frac{(b-a)}{n}f(x_i),$$

where  $x_i = \frac{a+i \times (b-a)/n}{2}$ 

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

٠

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

- e? Transendental number.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (1+1/n)^n$ .
- $\sqrt{2}$ ? Algebraic number. The solutions of

$$x^2 = 2$$

Really, rationals seem fine for calculus.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=0}^n\frac{(b-a)}{n}f(x_i),$$

where  $x_i = \frac{a+i \times (b-a)/n}{2}$ 

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

٠

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

- e? Transendental number.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (1+1/n)^n$ .
- $\sqrt{2}$ ? Algebraic number. The solutions of

$$x^2 = 2$$

Really, rationals seem fine for calculus.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=0}^n\frac{(b-a)}{n}f(x_i),$$

where  $x_i = \frac{a+i \times (b-a)/n}{2}$
#### Rao is freaked out.

Are real numbers even real?

Almost all real numbers can't be described.

 $\pi$ ?

٠

The ratio of the perimeter of a circle to its diameter.

- e? Transendental number.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (1+1/n)^n$ .
- $\sqrt{2}$ ? Algebraic number. The solutions of

$$x^2 = 2$$

Really, rationals seem fine for calculus.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=0}^n\frac{(b-a)}{n}f(x_i),$$

where  $x_i = \frac{a+i \times (b-a)/n}{2}$ 

So why real numbers?

There is no infinite set whose cardinality is between the cardinality of an infinite set and its power set.

There is no infinite set whose cardinality is between the cardinality of an infinite set and its power set.

The powerset of a set is the set of all subsets.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math!

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

This statement is a lie.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

This statement is a lie.

Is the statement above true?

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

This statement is a lie.

Is the statement above true?

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?
- The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Who shaves the barber?

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?
- The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.
- Who shaves the barber?
- Self reference.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Who shaves the barber?

Self reference.

Can a program refer to a program?

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Who shaves the barber?

Self reference.

Can a program refer to a program?

Can a program refer to itself?

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Who shaves the barber?

Self reference.

Can a program refer to a program?

Can a program refer to itself?

Uh oh....

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

- (A) Barber not Mark. Barber shaves Mark.
- (B) Mark shaves the Barber.
- (C) Barber doesn't shave themself.
- (D) Barber shaves themself.

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

- (A) Barber not Mark. Barber shaves Mark.
- (B) Mark shaves the Barber.
- (C) Barber doesn't shave themself.
- (D) Barber shaves themself.

Its all true.

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

- (A) Barber not Mark. Barber shaves Mark.
- (B) Mark shaves the Barber.
- (C) Barber doesn't shave themself.
- (D) Barber shaves themself.

Its all true. It's all a problem.

There is no infinite set whose cardinality is between the cardinality of an infinite set and its power set.

There is no infinite set whose cardinality is between the cardinality of an infinite set and its power set.

The powerset of a set is the set of all subsets.

There is no infinite set whose cardinality is between the cardinality of an infinite set and its power set.

The powerset of a set is the set of all subsets.

Recall: powerset of the naturals is not countable.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math!

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

This statement is a lie.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

This statement is a lie.

Is the statement above true?

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

This statement is a lie.

Is the statement above true?

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?
- The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Who shaves the barber?

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?
- The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.
- Who shaves the barber?
- Self reference.

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Who shaves the barber?

Self reference.

Can a program refer to a program?

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Who shaves the barber?

Self reference.

Can a program refer to a program?

Can a program refer to itself?

Gödel. 1940. Can't use math! If math doesn't contain a contradiction.

- This statement is a lie.
- Is the statement above true?

The barber shaves every person who does not shave themselves.

Who shaves the barber?

Self reference.

Can a program refer to a program?

Can a program refer to itself?

Uh oh....

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:
Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals."

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW:

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW:

Cantor

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW: Cantor ..bipolar disorder..

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW: Cantor ..bipolar disorder.. Goedel

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW:

Cantor .. bipolar disorder..

Goedel ...starved himself out of fear of being poisoned..

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW:

Cantor .. bipolar disorder..

Goedel ...starved himself out of fear of being poisoned..

Russell

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW:

Cantor .. bipolar disorder..

Goedel ..starved himself out of fear of being poisoned..

Russell .. was fine ...

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW:

Cantor .. bipolar disorder..

Goedel ...starved himself out of fear of being poisoned..

Russell .. was fine .... but for ...

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW:

Cantor .. bipolar disorder..

Goedel .. starved himself out of fear of being poisoned..

Russell .. was fine.....but for ...two schizophrenic children..

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW:

Cantor .. bipolar disorder..

Goedel .. starved himself out of fear of being poisoned..

Russell .. was fine.....but for ...two schizophrenic children.. Dangerous work?

Goedel: Any set of axioms is either inconsistent (can prove false statements) or incomplete (true statements cannot be proven.)

Concrete example:

Continuum hypothesis: "no cardinatity between reals and naturals." Continuum hypothesis not disprovable in ZFC (Goedel 1940.)

Continuum hypothesis not provable. (Cohen 1963: only Fields medal in logic)

BTW:

Cantor .. bipolar disorder..

Goedel .. starved himself out of fear of being poisoned..

Russell .. was fine.....but for ...two schizophrenic children.. Dangerous work?

See Logicomix by Doxiaidis, Papadimitriou (was professor here), Papadatos, Di Donna.